REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 19, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doshi et al. (U.S. Publication 2004/0193728) in view of Chiba et al. (U.S. Patent 7,231,456).

Claims 2, 4-10, and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doshi in view of Chiba in further view of Troxel et al. (U.S. Patent 6.850.524).

Applicant notes that the present application is directed towards managing a wavekey network from a common network manager. A wavekey network employs a set of "wavekeys", where cascaded wavelength channels forming an end-to-end path are modulated by one of the wavekeys for path identification. A wavekey may have a single dither tone, or a combination of dither tones, uniquely identifying a respective path. However, none of the references cited in the office action contemplates managing a wavekey network or a network employing identifying modulation of individual channels or paths of cascaded channels.

Please see paragraph [0002] of publication 2004/0249976 of the present application, "The invention relates to optical WDM networks, and in particular to such networks employing dither tones for channel identification."

Applicant has cancelled claims 1, 2, 4-10, and 12-23 without prejudice or disclaimer regarding the content therein. New claims 24-43 are introduced to more clearly define the invention.

Summary

Claims 1, 2, 4-10, and 12-23 have been cancelled. New claims 24-43 are pending.

No new subject matter has been added to the claims.

Favorable consideration and allowance are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Victoria Donnelly Registration No. 44,185

Telephone: (613) 831-6003 Facsimile: (613) 831-3329